

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/380,086	11/29/1999	PASCUAL PEREZ	BET-99/0730	2155	
466	7590 06/03/2	002			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
,	23RD STREET 2N N, VA 22202) FLOOR	KUBELIK, ANNE R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1638	9	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2002	40	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/380,086	PEREZ ET AL.	T AL.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anne R. Kubelik	1638				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover she t with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THITE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate exthe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 11 March 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s): <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	• • •	•	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-16 and 18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17.						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 1st, new matter rejections of claims 13-16 and 18; 112, 2nd, rejection of claims 16 and 18.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Request for reconsideration:

Rejections of claims 13-16 and 18 over Paul et al in view of each of Ellstrand et al and Nyers et al, over Worrall et al in view of each of Ellstrand et al and Nyers et al, and over Fabijanski et al in view of each of Ellstrand et al and Nyers et al remain for reasons of record. In request for reconsideration, Applicant again attacks references individually. Additionally, the claimed emthods are not limited to use in plants in which the seed or fruit is the product. There is no support for Applicant's assertion that there was no reasonable expectation of success.

Declaration of Pascual Perez: Results presented support predictions in cited prior art of non-transmission of transgenes (e.g. Worral et al, pg 761, right column). No unexpected results are presented in data in declaration. There is no support for Applicant's assertion that there was no reasonable expectation of success. Data in Table 2 and Figure 1 are not relevant to instant claims.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

2